## ADVANCES IN DRUG DEVELOPMENT

Current Developments in Oncology Drug Research

Section Editor: Mark J. Ratain, MD

# Optimizing Drug Dosing for the Treatment of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia



Nitin Jain, MD Associate Professor of Medicine Department of Leukemia MD Anderson Cancer Center Houston, Texas

#### **H&O** What drugs are available to treat chronic lymphocytic leukemia?

NJ The treatment paradigm for chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) has changed substantially in the past several years. There are now targeted therapies, such as the Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor ibrutinib (Imbruvica, Pharmacyclics/Janssen), the phosphoinosi-tide 3 (PI3) kinase inhibitors idelalisib (Zydelig, Gilead) and duvelisib (Copiktra, Verastem), and the B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2) inhibitor venetoclax (Venclexta, AbbVie/Genentech). All of these therapies are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for patients with CLL. They are administered orally. In randomized clinical trials, these therapies were shown to be superior to the standard regimens. Many other new drugs are also in development for patients with CLL.

### **H&O** Are different therapies more appropriate for certain patients?

**NJ** This is an area of active research. Increasingly, we are recognizing that patients with different genomic subtypes may benefit from a certain therapy vs another. For example, chemotherapy is not effective for patients who have the deletion 17p (del[17p]) or *TP53* mutations. These patients should therefore receive novel therapies.

The chemoimmunotherapy regimen consisting of fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab (FCR) is still the standard of care for young, fit patients with the immunoglobulin heavy-chain variable region (*IGHV*) mutation and without the del(17p) or *TP53* mutations.

These patients can achieve long-lasting remissions after treatment with 6 cycles of chemoimmunotherapy with FCR.

Increasingly, we are now making treatment decisions based on the patient's genomic subtype. This strategy was not possible a few years ago, before the advent of targeted therapies.

# **H&O** What has been learned about targeted therapies as they progressed from clinical trials to use in the clinic?

**NJ** The enrollment criteria of clinical trials tend to select a more homogeneous patient population than is seen in clinical practice. There are now substantial data from "real-world" studies of patients treated outside of clinical trials. These patients may be less fit and have comorbidities that would have excluded them from clinical trials. The real-world studies report that more patients are developing adverse events during treatment, leading to discontinuation of therapy.

### **H&O** What are the findings of studies evaluating different doses of these drugs?

**NJ** This is an area of active research. Phase 1 studies led to the identification of drug doses that were then tested in larger phase 2 and 3 studies. However, we are now learning that it may be possible to lower the doses in some cases. Several retrospective studies of the use of ibrutinib have shown that dose reductions done to alleviate toxicities did not impact outcome. There are no data from

prospective studies that evaluate different doses to assess clinical efficacy. A pilot study from MD Anderson Cancer Center treated 11 patients with lower doses of ibrutinib. The study was designed to assess the pharmacodynamic effects of the regimen. Treatment began with an initial cycle of the standard dose of 420 mg daily. The dose was reduced to 280 mg daily for cycle 2, and then to 140 mg daily for cycle 3. The study found that even at the lower

The real-world studies are showing that more patients are developing adverse events during treatment, leading to discontinuation of therapy.

doses, the BTK occupancy of ibrutinib was still very high. Therefore, lower-dose ibrutinib was able to circumvent or overcome the BTK enzyme. Whether this strategy will be effective clinically remains unknown at this time.

#### **H&O** Are modified dosing strategies being used in the clinic?

**NJ** In clinical practice, many patients treated with ibrutinib will require a dose reduction after they develop toxicities with the standard dose of 420 mg once daily. Clinicians should follow the prescribing guidelines for ibrutinib to decrease the dose of the drug in patients experiencing a toxicity.

### **H&O** Should a clinician aim to resume the full prescribing dose after some time?

**NJ** In most cases, when the dosage is reduced to alleviate a toxicity, the patient should remain on the lower dose. There is a possibility that the toxicity may recur with dose escalation. If there is concern about efficacy, then the full dose can be resumed. However, clinicians must monitor the patient closely to identify any recurrence of adverse events.

**H&O** Are there novel agents or treatment regimens in development for CLL?

**NJ** The treatment landscape in CLL continues to evolve. Several other novel BTK inhibitors are currently in earlyphase studies for patients with CLL. There is a novel PI3 kinase inhibitor in phase 3 studies. Depending on the results of ongoing phase 3 studies, there may be new drug approvals for CLL in the next few years.

Novel targeted therapies, such as myeloid cell leukemia 1 (MCL-1) inhibitors, are in early stages of development for patients with CLL. There are emerging data with the use of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy for patients with CLL. This therapy appears to be a very exciting approach.

Another clinical paradigm shift is the attempt to design treatment regimens consisting of a combination of targeted therapies that are time-limited, such as given for 1 to 2 years. The goal is to achieve a deep remission, or what is called a minimal residual disease–negative remission, and to eventually stop treatment. In the next 1 to 2 years, there should be data indicating whether these strategies will become valid options for patients with CLL.

#### Disclosure

Dr Jain has received research funding from Pharmacyclics, AbbVie, Genentech, BMS, and AstraZeneca. He has received honoraria from Pharmacyclics, Janssen, AbbVie, Genentech, and AstraZeneca.

#### Suggested Readings

Barr PM, Brown JR, Hillmen P, et al. Impact of ibrutinib dose adherence on therapeutic efficacy in patients with previously treated CLL/SLL. *Blood*. 2017;129(19):2612-2615.

Byrd JC, Furman RR, Coutre SE, et al. Three-year follow-up of treatment-naïve and previously treated patients with CLL and SLL receiving single-agent ibrutinib. *Blood.* 2015;125(16):2497-2506.

Chen LS, Bose P, Cruz ND, et al. A pilot study of lower doses of ibrutinib in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2018;132(21):2249-2259.

Ghia P, Cuneo A. Ibrutinib in the real world patient: many lights and some shades. *Haematologica*. 2016;101(12):1448-1450.

Mato A, Jahnke J, Li P, et al. Real-world treatment and outcomes among older adults with chronic lymphocytic leukemia before the novel agents era. *Haematologica*. 2018;103(10):e462-e465.

Mato AR, Thompson M, Allan JN, et al. Real-world outcomes and management strategies for venetoclax-treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients in the United States. *Haematologica*. 2018;103(9):1511-1517.

Thompson PA, Tam CS, O'Brien SM, et al. Fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab treatment achieves long-term disease-free survival in *IGHV*-mutated chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2016;127(3):303-309.

Winqvist M, Andersson PO, Asklid A, et al; Swedish CLL Group. Long-term realworld results of ibrutinib therapy in patients with relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: 30-month follow up of the Swedish compassionate use cohort. *Haematologica*. 2019;104(5):e208-e210.